

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



ISPM 27 ANNEX 9

ENG

DP 9: Genus *Anastrepha* Schiner

Produced by the Secretariat of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) This page is intentionally left blank

ISPM 27 Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests

DP 9: Genus Anastrepha Schiner

Adopted 2015; published 2016

CONTENTS

1.	Pest Information							
2.	Taxonomic Information							
3.	Detection							
3.1 Inspection of fruits.								
	3.2	Inspection of traps.	4					
4.	Identific	ation	4					
	4.1	Preparation of adults for identification	5					
	4.1.1	Rearing larvae to obtain adults	5					
	4.1.2	Preparation of adults for microscopic examination	5					
	4.2	Preparation of larvae for identification	6					
	4.2.1	Handling the biological sample	6					
	4.2.2	Preparation of larvae for microscopic examination	6					
	4.3	Morphological identification of adults	7					
	4.3.1	Identification of the genus Anastrepha Loew	7					
	4.3.2	Key to adults of major economically important species of the genus Anastrepha	7					
	4.4	Morphological identification of third instar larvae	8					
	4.4.1	Key to third instar larvae of major economically important genera of Tephritidae in the Americas.	8					
	4.4.2	Key to third instar larvae of major economically important species of the genus Anastrepha	9					
5.	Records							
6.	Contact Points for Further Information							
7.	Acknowledgements							
8.	References							
9.	Figures							

1. Pest Information

The family Tephritidae comprises about 4 450 species in 500 or so genera (Norrbom *et al.*, 1999a, 1999b; Norrbom, 2004b) (the figure was about 4 700 species in 2014 (A.L. Norrbom, personal communication, 2014)). The Tephritidae are distributed worldwide in temperate, tropical and subtropical regions. *Anastrepha* Schiner (Tephritidae: Toxotrypanini) is the largest genus of Tephritidae in the Americas, and is represented by more than 250 species that occur from the southern United States (Texas and Florida) to northern Argentina (Hernández-Ortiz, 1992; Foote *et al.*, 1993; Hernández-Ortiz and Aluja, 1993; Norrbom, 2004b; Norrbom *et al.*, 2012). At least seven species of *Anastrepha* are considered major economic pests because of the great importance of the cultivated fruits they attack (e.g. mango and citrus) and their wide host range. These seven species are *A. fraterculus* (Wiedemann); *A. striata* Schiner; and *A. suspensa* (Loew); *A. obliqua* (Macquart); *A. serpentina* (Wiedemann); *A. striata* Schiner; and *A. suspensa* (Loew). *A. fraterculus* (Wiedemann) has been recognized as a cryptic species complex (Hernández-Ortiz *et al.*, 2004, 2012; Selivon *et al.*, 2004, 2005; Vera et al., 2006, Cáceres *et al.*, 2009). This diagnostic protocol for *Anastrepha* covers morphological identification of the genus and the species of major economic importance. For further general information about species of Tephritidae, see Norrbom (2010).

The length of the tephritid life cycle varies according to each species as well as environmental and climatic conditions (Basso, 2003). Female *Anastrepha* deposit their eggs inside fruits. The number of eggs deposited per fruit is variable, and depends mainly on features of the host fruit such as size and ripeness (Malavasi *et al.*, 1983), but each species also seems to have innate limits on the number of eggs laid (Aluja *et al.*, 1999). Within several days, deposited eggs hatch and larvae emerge. Larvae usually feed on fruit pulp, but in some cases also or exclusively on seeds. Mature larvae usually leave the fruit to pupate in the ground, but in certain cases pupation can take place within the fruit. Adults usually emerge after a pupal period of 16–25 days, and they require a period of sexual maturation of 5–20 days after emergence. During this process the flies obtain food from homopteran secretions, bird faeces, and juice produced by ripe fruits (Prokopy and Roitberg, 1984).

The relationship between *Anastrepha* species and their host plants is poorly understood. There are more than 330 host species from 48 families, many of them reported for a few generalist *Anastrepha* species (Norrbom and Kim, 1988; Norrbom, 2004a) while food plants for many other *Anastrepha* species remain unknown. Furthermore, current information includes numerous doubtful records, and reports of infestations induced only under laboratory conditions. Restricting the host list to natural infestations, hosts are known for about 39.8% of *Anastrepha* species (Hernández-Ortiz and Aluja, 1993).

The introduction of cultivated exotic species such as *Mangifera indica* and *Citrus* spp. have allowed some pest species of *Anastrepha* to expand their original areas of distribution and enhance their reproductive potential. However, they still have marked preferences for certain native hosts, which is probably indicative of their original host relationships. In this regard, the species *A. suspensa, A. fraterculus* and *A. striata* breed mainly in hosts belonging to the family Myrtaceae, *A. ludens* in the Rutaceae, *A. obliqua* in the Anacardiaceae, *A. serpentina* in the Sapotaceae, and *A. grandis* in the Cucurbitaceae (Norrbom, 2004a).

Among native hosts in the American tropics, there seems to be an ancestral association with plants that produce latex and particularly the family Sapotaceae. Sapotaceous fruits are frequent hosts for the *dentata, leptozona, serpentina, daciformis, robusta* and *cryptostrepha* species groups. Myrtaceous fruits are also very important hosts. Almost 26 *Anastrepha* species, most belonging to the *A. fraterculus* species complex, have been reported feeding on plants of this family (Norrbom and Kim, 1988; Norrbom *et al.*, 1999c).

2. Taxonomic Information

Name: Anastrepha Schiner, 1868

Synonyms: Acrotoxa Loew, 1873; Pseudodacus Hendel, 1914; Phobema Aldrich, 1925; Lucumaphila Stone, 1939

Taxonomic position: Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae, Trypetinae, Toxotrypanini

Common names: See Table 1.

Table 1. Common names and synonyms of fruit fly species of major economic importance belonging to the genus

 Anastrepha

Common name	Anastrepha species	Synonyms
		<i>Tephritis mellea</i> Walker, 1837
	Anastrepha fraterculus	<i>Trypeta unicolor</i> Loew, 1862
		Anthomyia frutalis Weyenbergh, 1874
		Anastrepha fraterculus var. soluta Bezzi, 1909
South American		Anastrepha peruviana Townsend, 1913
fruit fly	species complex	Anastrepha braziliensis Greene, 1934
		Anastrepha costarukmanii Capoor, 1954
		Anastrepha scholae Capoor, 1955
		Anastrepha pseudofraterculus Capoor, 1955
		Anastrepha lambayecae Korytkowski and Ojeda, 1968
Molon fruit fly	Anastrepha grandis	Anastrepha schineri Hendel, 1914
	(Macquart, 1846)	Anastrepha latifasciata Hering, 1935
Mexican fruit fly	Anastrepha ludens (Loew, 1873)	Anastrepha lathana Stone, 1942
		Anastrepha fraterculus var. mombinpraeoptans Sein, 1933
West Indian fruit fly	Anastrepha obliqua (Macquart, 1835)	Anastrepha fraterculus var. ligata Lima, 1934
	· · · /	Anastrepha trinidadensis Greene, 1934
Sapodilla fruit fly	<i>Anastrepha serpentina</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)	<i>Urophora vittithorax</i> Macquart, 1851
Guava fruit fly	<i>Anastrepha striata</i> Schiner, 1868	<i>Dictya cancellaria</i> Fabricius, 1805 (see Norrbom <i>et al.</i> , 1999b)
Caribboan fruit fly	Anastrepha suspensa	Anastrepha unipuncta Sein, 1933
	(Loew, 1862)	Anastrepha longimacula Greene, 1934

3. Detection

Fruit flies can be detected by inspection as larvae inside fruits and as pupae in the containers in which the fruits are being transported, or they can be captured outdoors as adults by means of trapping systems.

3.1 Inspection of fruits.

Infested fruits can be found in imported or exported shipments, in baggage, and even on aeroplanes or terrestrial transportation vehicles. Fruits with soft areas, dark stains, rot, orifices or injuries that might have originated from female oviposition or larval feeding activities are targeted for inspection. In order to detect punctures made by female flies during oviposition, the visual examination should be done under a microscope by an expert. If larval exit holes are observed, the fruit containers should be inspected for pupae. Second and third instar larvae and pupae are not likely to occur when unripe fruits are collected and packed; however, these fruits might host eggs and first instar larvae, which are more difficult to detect. Potentially infested fruits that show typical punctures made by ovipositioning female flies should be cut open to search for eggs or larvae inside. The success of detection depends on careful sampling and examination of fruits.

3.2 Inspection of traps.

Guidance on trapping *Anastrepha* fruit flies is given in Appendix 1 of ISPM 26 (*Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)*). In general, monitoring systems established for the detection of fruit fly adults in trees, either in fruit-growing regions or in border areas between countries, require the utilization of McPhail traps baited with food attractants or synthetic lures. The baits, often with rich sources of ammonium, should be recognized and approved internationally (e.g. ISPM 26). The specific methods of trap deployment and time of service of the traps must be in agreement with the national phytosanitary regulations.

4. Identification

The taxonomy of the genus *Anastrepha* is based on adult external morphology and characters of the female terminalia (Stone, 1942; Hernández-Ortiz, 1992; Zucchi, 2000; Norrbom *et al.*, 2012). Because morphological characters of immature stages are not well documented for most *Anastrepha* species, these characters have a more limited utility in species recognition (White and Elson-Harris, 1992) in comparison with adult morphology. However, some information on egg structures and third instar larvae is available in the scientific literature and has diagnostic utility for certain species (Steck and Wharton, 1988; Steck *et al.*, 1990; Frías *et al.*, 2006, 2008, 2009; Dutra *et al.*, 2011a, 2011b, 2012, 2013; Figueiredo *et al.*, 2011). Identification keys for the larvae of the seven species of *Anastrepha* known to be of major economic importance (section 1; listed in Table 1) are available (Steck *et al.*, 1990; Carroll *et al.*, 2004) but should be used with consideration of their limits.

Although the third instar larvae of some *Anastrepha* species apparently can be discriminated (Berg, 1979; Steck and Wharton, 1988; Carroll and Wharton, 1989; Steck *et al.*, 1990; White and Elson-Harris, 1992; Carroll *et al.*, 2004; Frías *et al.*, 2006; Hernández-Ortiz *et al.*, 2010), the available data are based on very limited sampling for most species that have been described. Studies of additional closely related species that have not yet been characterized may also reduce the reliability of the method. For this reason, experts should perform these diagnoses and evaluate all available information. The most reliable method for identification is rearing larvae to the adult stage.

Several pest species of *Anastrepha* are believed to comprise multiple (yet to be described) cryptic species that are morphologically indistinguishable or require morphometric analysis for their recognition (Hernández-Ortiz *et al.*, 2004, 2012).

To study this idea further, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) has coordinated an international research project to describe the cryptic species in the *A. fraterculus* species complex. As part of this project, molecular methods have been examined for diagnostic utility within the genus. Based on available data, methods such as DNA barcoding using the *cytochrome oxidase I* gene cannot

reliably identify some dipteran species, including several important pest species (Will *et al.*, 2005; Meier *et al.*, 2006; Virgilio *et al.*, 2010; Lopes *et al.*, 2013). Some progress has been made by internal transcribed spacer (ITS)1 analysis (e.g. Sonvico *et al.*, 2004, GenBank accession number AY686689). This information was associated with morphological characterization of specimens and karyotypic analysis, along with cross-mating studies (Basso, 2003).

Consequently, the identification methods included in this diagnostic protocol are based on morphological characters.

4.1 Preparation of adults for identification

4.1.1 Rearing larvae to obtain adults

The fruits are placed in cages covered with cloth or fine mesh and that have a sterile pupation medium (e.g. damp vermiculite, sand or sawdust) at the bottom. Once the larvae emerge from the fruit, they will move to the substratum for pupation. It is recommended to incubate each fruit separately. Each sample must be observed and pupae gathered daily. The pupae are placed in containers with the pupation medium, and the containers are covered with a tight lid that enables proper ventilation. Once the adults emerge, they must be kept alive for 48–72 h to ensure that the tegument and wings acquire the rigidity and characteristic coloration of the species. The adults are then killed and preserved by placing them in 70% ethanol (96% ethanol for molecular studies (DNA) or they are killed with ethyl acetate or another agent and then mounted on pins. For female flies, immediately after killing them (before they harden) it is useful to gently squeeze the apical part of the preabdomen with forceps, then squeeze the base and apex of the oviscape to expose the aculeus tip (so that it does not need to be dissected later).

4.1.2 Preparation of adults for microscopic examination

For species recognition of adult stages, the entire specimen should be preserved – either dry (pinned) or in 70% ethanol. Examination of the wings and the aculeus is particularly important. Examination of the aculeus must be done at about $400 \times$ magnification. The wing and aculeus of each specimen can be mounted under two separate coverslips on the same slide. Dissection and mounting should be done only by someone with experience. Dissecting the female terminalia in *Anastrepha* is difficult and it is easy to damage useful parts.

4.1.2.1 Aculeus

It is preferable to cut off the whole abdomen from a female to dissect the oviscape (syntergosternite 7), the eversible membrane and the aculeus. For preserved dry (pinned) specimens, fine dissection scissors are recommended to remove the abdomen. The abdomen needs to be cleared. This can be accomplished by placing it in a 10% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or 10% potassium hydroxide (KOH) solution and heating it in a boiling water bath for 10–15 min, washing the structure with distilled water, and then removing internal contents under a stereomicroscope with the help of dissection forceps. The aculeus and the eversible membrane should be exposed. At this step it is possible to examine the aculeus directly in one or two drops of glycerine under a microscope. Afterwards, the structure can be transferred to a microvial with glycerine and pinned under the mounted dry specimen. For permanent slides, proceed as described in section 4.1.2. Mounting the aculeus permanently in the ventral position prevents the observation of some characters better seen in lateral view. For this reason, preservation in glycerine in a microvial is often preferable.

4.1.2.2 Wings

Wing characters can usually be observed without mounting, so mounting is not recommended as a general practice. It may be necessary for morphometric studies, but it is not necessary for observation of the characters used in the key in section 4.3.2. If permanent mounts are made, it is recommended to cut off one of the wings from its base (the right wing is preferred because it facilitates comparison with images reported in the literature and this diagnostic protocol).

4.2 Preparation of larvae for identification

4.2.1 Handling the biological sample

As noted in section 4, observation of adult characters may be necessary to make a definitive identification. If immature stages are found, it is recommended to preserve a few larvae for morphological examination by treating them in hot water (section 4.2.2) and then storing them in 70% ethanol. The remaining larvae and pupae are reared to obtain adult specimens for identification (section 4.1.1).

Morphological examination of larvae (section 4.2.2) can be performed on unmounted larvae using a stereomicroscope, on slide-mounted larvae using a compound microscope, or on critical-point dried larvae using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Slide mounting larvae can preclude subsequent analysis of morphological characters. On slide-mounted larvae it is possible to examine external morphology (e.g. anterior and posterior spiracles, oral ridges) as well as internal structures such as the cephalopharyngeal skeleton (Figures 21–44), using an optical microscope with objective $20 \times$, $40 \times$ or higher. Detailed, high resolution observation of the external morphology of larvae is only possible using an SEM (Figures 45–61). It is therefore not recommended to slide mount all specimens representing a sample or the only larva available for diagnosis; unmounted larvae should be kept for future analysis.

4.2.2 Preparation of larvae for microscopic examination

To prepare specimens for examination the larvae must be treated in hot water, which can be accomplished by placing live larvae in water at approximately 65 °C for 2–4 min. The larvae are cooled to room temperature and then immersed in 50% alcohol for 15–30 min. The specimens are transferred to a hermetic vial (15–25 ml) filled with 70% alcohol. It is advisable to include a label on the vial with all sampling information. These samples are ready for examination under a stereomicroscope or subsequent preparation for slide mounting or examining under an SEM.

To prepare specimens for slide mounting, it is necessary to remove (clean) all the internal contents to allow observation of the cuticle, oral opening, cephalopharyngeal skeleton and anterior spiracles, as well as the posterior spiracular plate and anal lobes. This can be accomplished by making two transverse incisions in the larva, one behind the cephalic region and the anterior spiracles, and one before the caudal segment. The incised larva then needs to be immersed in a test tube containing 10% NaOH or 10% KOH solution and heated in a boiling water bath for 10–15 min. The internal contents can then be carefully removed from the specimen using forceps and distilled water under a stereomicroscope (45× magnification or greater).

Permanent slide mounts can be made using Canada balsam or Euparal. Before doing this, cleaned structures must be dehydrated by placing them for 25 min in each of 50%, 75% and 100% ethanol. For mounting with Canada balsam, the specimens should be transferred to lavender oil for 15 min to clear them and then immediately mounted on a slide with one or two drops of Canada balsam. When Euparal is used as the mounting medium, structures should be transferred from 100% ethanol to clove oil for about 30 min to clear them before mounting. In both cases, slides must be allowed to dry for several days (the time can be reduced by using an oven), but they can be examined under the microscope at low magnification immediately after mounting. Slides should be labelled.

For observation using an SEM, the specimens (stored in alcohol) must first be cleaned in their vials in distilled water with a drop of liquid soap added to serve as a surfactant. Then they should be rinsed thoroughly with distilled water and dehydrated by running through a series of ethanol baths: 70%, 80%, 95%, and three changes of absolute ethanol (15 min each bath). Specimens should then be critical-point dried and coated with gold-palladium (Carroll and Wharton, 1989). Similar techniques can be found elsewhere (e.g. Frías *et al.*, 2006, 2008, 2009).

4.3 Morphological identification of adults

4.3.1 Identification of the genus Anastrepha Loew

Adults (Figure 1). Head (Figure 2-A): Usually yellow with two to eight frontal and one or two orbital setae, sometimes posterior orbital seta absent; ocellar seta usually very weak or indistinct; postocellar, medial and lateral vertical setae present. Thorax (Figures 2-B, 3): Macrosetae of thorax usually black, red–brown or orange, rarely golden yellow; scutum usually yellow to orange, occasionally mostly dark brown or sometimes with dark brown or black stripes or spots, always with two to five yellow stripes; mesonotum with the following setae: one postpronotal, two notopleurals, one presutural supra-alar, one postsutural supra-alar, one intra-alar, one dorsocentral, one acrostichal (rarely absent) and two scutellars.

Wings (Figure 4): Subcostal break present; crossvein *R-M* placed distal to mid-length of discal cell (*dm*); basal cubital cell (*bcu*) with a well-developed posteroapical extension; vein *M* usually conspicuously curved forwards apically (strongly so in all pest species) and not meeting costa at a 90° angle. Wing pattern with orange to brown coloured bands forming a typical pattern as follows: costal (C)-band on basal costal margin including all of vein R_1 , subcostal cell and the pterostigma; S-band extending from apex of cell *bcu* across cell *dm* and crossvein *R-M*, reaching costal margin, and continuing to apex of wing; V-band forming an inverted V shape, comprising the proximal arm (subapical band) along vein *DM-Cu* and the distal arm (posterior apical band) arising from cell *m*, both convergent in cell r_{4+5} ; distal arm frequently incomplete or absent. The typical wing pattern is modified in some economically important species (see key to species in Section 4.3.2).

Male terminalia (Figure 5): Epandrium broad in lateral view with lateral surstylus short or elongated; medial surstylus shorter than lateral surstylus with two stout blackish prensisetae apically; proctiger membranous, weakly sclerotized laterally and ventrally; phallus elongated, usually longer than length of oviscape of female; glans weakly sclerotized with an apical T-shaped sclerite, glans sometimes absent in non-pest species.

Female terminalia (Figure 6): Oviscape tube-like, variable in length; eversible membrane (usually inverted inside oviscape) apically with dorsal group of hook-like sclerotized plates (also named raspers); aculeus (usually inverted inside eversible membrane and oviscape) well sclerotized, tip sometimes serrated on lateral margins.

4.3.2 Key to adults of major economically important species of the genus Anastrepha

Key adapted from Hernández-Ortiz *et al.* (2010). For additional information on morphological structures and other *Anastrepha* species, see Norrbom *et al.* (2012). See Tables 2 and 4 for diagnostic morphological characters of the genus *Anastrepha*.

2.	Scuti	um į	predon	ninar	ntly dark	k brown v	with b	rown to	black stri	pes				3
	Cart		11			with out	مام سا -	h			a a ma atima a a	1	gauta gautalla	
_	Scutt	um	yenow	or	orange,	without	dark	brown	markings	except	sometimes	along	scuto-scutena	۱r
sι	iture												4	ł

3. Wing pattern mostly dark brown; distal arm of V-band completely absent (Figure 8); abdominal tergites mostly dark brown with T-shaped medial white mark; thoracic pleuron mostly brown, strongly contrasting with yellow markings; female aculeus 2.6–3.8 mm long, aculeus tip 0.37–0.46 mm long, 0.14-0.17 mm wide, lateral margins finely serrated on distal 0.5-0.7 (Figure 15). (Larvae infest sapotaceous fruits.) Anastrepha serpentina (Wiedemann)

- Wing pattern mostly orange and moderate brown; distal arm of V-band usually present (Figure 9); abdominal tergites and pleuron yellow or orange; scutum with two broad dorsocentral stripes connected on posterior margin to form U-shaped mark, without setulae in a small area along transverse suture, but with dense white microtrichia contrasting with black setulae; female aculeus 1.95-2.30 mm long, tip broad, 0.24–0.31 mm long, 0.17–0.20 mm wide (Figure 16). (Larvae infest guavas.)

4. Anterior apical band of wing (=distal section of S-band) narrow to moderately broad, never reaching apex of vein M; V-band with arms separated anteriorly or if joined, with large hyaline mark between them and vein M_i ; scuto-scutellar suture with or without brown spot medially; aculeus

- Anterior apical band of wing (=distal section of S-band) extremely wide, reaching apex of vein M; V-band broad and complete, with arms widely connected anteriorly, hyaline mark between them and vein M small or absent (Figure 10); scuto-scutellar suture usually with large rounded brown spot medially; female aculeus 1.4–1.6 mm long, tip 0.19–0.23 mm long, 0.10–0.13 mm wide, lateral

5. Female aculeus length less than 2.0 mm (usually 1.4–1.9 mm), tip short and wide with large teeth

- Female aculeus length more than 2.5 mm (usually 3.3-5.8 mm); aculeus tip length 0.28-0.42 mm, with a moderate constriction near mid-length; lateral margins non-serrate or finely serrate on distal 0.55 or less (Figure 18); brown lateral markings of subscutellum always evident and sometimes extended onto mediotergite (Figure 3B); wing pattern as in Figure 11. (Larvae commonly infest citrus

6. Subscutellum entirely yellow, only mediotergite with brown markings on sides (Figure 3C); brown spot on scuto-scutellar suture absent; aculeus tip 0.16–0.20 mm long, with lateral serrations on distal two-thirds or four-fifths (Figure 19); wing pattern as in Figure 12. (Larvae commonly infest mangos or

- Both mediotergite and subscutellum with broad dark brown to black markings on sides (Figure 3A); brown spot on scuto-scutellar suture usually present; aculeus 1.4-1.9 mm long, aculeus tip 0.20-0.28 mm long, lateral margins with 8 to 14 teeth on distal two-fifths to three-fifths (Figure 20); wing pattern variable (Figure 13)...... Anastrepha fraterculus (Wiedemann) species complex

4.4 Morphological identification of third instar larvae

4.4.1 Key to third instar larvae of major economically important genera of Tephritidae in the Americas

Key adapted from Frías et al. (2006). For additional information on larval morphology of this genera and related species, see White and Elson-Harris (1992), Carroll et al. (2004) and Frías et al. (2006, 2008).

1. Mandible more than 0.3 mm long. Ventral apodeme of mandible broad and rounded apically (Figure 26). Spiracular hairs shorter than width of medial spiracular slit (Figure 49).....

Hypopharyngeal bridge narrow at middle of hypopharyngeal sclerite (as in Figures 27–32). Preoral and oral teeth absent; oral ridges usually with serrated margins (Figure 48). Dorsolateral sensilla group closer to maxillary palpus than to antenna.

3. Posterior region of mandible without distinct neck (Figure 23). Caudal ridge lacking (Figure 59)..... Anastrepha Schiner

- Posterior region of mandible with distinct neck (Figures 22, 24). Caudal ridge present (Figure 60).

4.4.2 Key to third instar larvae of major economically important species of the genus *Anastrepha*

Key adapted from Steck *et al.* (1990). See Table 3 for diagnostic morphological characters of third instar larvae of *Anastrepha* species.

Geographic distribution and hosts are quoted only as additional information of the common source of origin for the species.

- Anterior spiracle with 12 to 23 tubules (Figure 39); cephalopharyngeal skeleton as in Figure 31. (Main hosts: larvae breed in fruits of Myrtaceae; distribution: tropical Americas.) .. *Anastrepha striata*

Biological stage	Structure	Description
	Mandible	Less than 0.3 mm long; posterior region without distinct neck; preapical tooth lacking
	Posterior spiracles	Spiracular hairs longer than width of medial spiracular slits
Larva	Hypopharyngeal bridge	Narrow, located at middle of hypopharyngeal sclerite
	Preoral and oral teeth	Absent
	Oral ridges	Usually serrated
	Stomal sensory organ	Enlarged
	Head chaetotaxy	Two to eight frontal and one or two orbital setae; ocellar setae very weak or indistinct; postocellars unicolorous
	Mesonotum chaetotaxy	One postpronotal, two notopleurals, one presutural supra-alar, one postsutural supra-alar, one postalar, one intra-alar, one dorsocentral, one acrostichal (rarely absent) and two scutellars
	Wings	Veins: Vein M usually conspicuously curved forwards apically (strongly so in all pest species) and meeting costa without 90° angle; crossvein <i>r</i> - <i>m</i> placed distal to mid-length of discal cell (<i>dm</i>); basal cubital cell (<i>bcu</i>) with well-developed posteroapical extension
Adult	wings	Wing pattern: C-band on basal costal margin; S-band (from apex of cell <i>bcu</i> across cell <i>dm</i> and crossvein <i>r-m</i>); V-band forming an inverted V shape comprising the proximal arm (subapical band) on <i>dm-cu</i> and distal arm (posterior apical band) arising from cell <i>m</i> , both convergent in cell R_{4+5}
	Male genitalia	Lateral surstylus short or elongate; medial surstylus shorter than lateral surstylus with two prensisetae apically; proctiger weakly sclerotized laterally and ventrally; glans weakly sclerotized with an apical T-shaped sclerite, glans sometimes absent in non-pest species
	Female genitalia	Oviscape tube-like, variable in length; eversible membrane apically with dorsal hook-like sclerotized plates (also named raspers); aculeus well sclerotized, length variable, tip sometimes serrated on lateral margins

Table 2. Diagnostic morphological characters of the genus Anastrepha used in the keys of this protocol

Species	Structure	Description			
	Oral ridges	7 to 10 rows			
	Anterior spiracle	9 to 18 tubules in a single row			
Anastrepha	Deres en invites	Abdominal segments absent			
species	Dorsal spinules	Thoracic segments absent on T3			
complex	Posterior spiracles	SP-I and SP-IV with 10 to 17 long trunks; SP-II usually with 6 to 9 trunks; slits 2.5–3.5 times longer than wide			
	Anal lobes	Entire in some populations, bifid in others			
	Oral ridges	8 to 13 rows			
	Anterior spiracle	28 to 37 tubules			
Annationha	Demosterieutee	Abdominal segments present on two or more segments			
grandis	Dorsal spinules	Thoracic segments present on T2 and T3			
	Posterior spiracles	SP-I and SP-IV with six or more trunks with bristle length one-third times length of spiracular opening			
	Anal lobes	Bilobed			
	Oral ridges	11 to 17 rows; margins entire			
	Anterior spiracle	12 to 20 tubules			
Anastrepha	Deres Lezizules	Abdominal segments present on A1			
ludens	Dorsal spinules	Thoracic segments present on T3			
	Posterior spiracles	Slits 3.1–4.6 times longer than wide			
	Anal lobes	Bilobed			
	Oral ridges	7 to 10 rows			
	Anterior spiracle	9 to 18 tubules in a single row			
Anastrepha		Abdominal segments absent			
obliqua	Dorsal spinules	Thoracic segments absent on T3			
	Posterior spiracles	SP-I and SP-IV with 10 to 17 long trunks; SP-II usually with 3 to 6 trunks; slits 3–4.5 times longer than wide			

Table 3. Diagnostic morphological characters of third instar larvae of Anastrepha species

	Posterior spiracles	SP-I and SP-IV with 10 to 17 long trunks; SP-II usually with 3 to 6 trunks; slits 3–4.5 times longer than wide
	Anal lobes	Entire
	Oral ridges	12 to 18 rows
	Anterior spiracle	13 to 19 tubules in a single row
Anastrepha serpentina		Abdominal segments absent
	Dorsal spinules	Thoracic segments absent on T3
	Posterior spiracles	SP-I and SP-IV with six to nine short trunks

Anal lobes

Species	Structure	Description				
	Anal lobes	Usually bilobed (occasionally entire)				
	Oral ridges	5 to 8 rows				
	Anterior spiracle	12 to 23 tubules				
Anastrepha striata	Dorsal spinules	Abdominal segments present on two or more segments; thoracic segments present on T2 and T3				
	Posterior spiracles	SP-I and SP-IV with six or more trunks, length of hairs one-third or more of the length of the spiracular opening				
	Anal lobes	Entire or partially bilobed				
	Oral ridges	8 to 11 rows; margins with stout, bluntly rounded, widely spaced teeth				
	Anterior spiracle	9 to 15 tubules				
Anastrepha	Dereel aninulae	Abdominal segments absent				
suspensa	Dorsal spinules	Thoracic segments present on T3				
	Posterior spiracles	Slits 2.5–3.5 times longer than wide				

Species Structure		Description
	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta present
Anastrepha fraterculus	Thorax	Both mediotergite and subscutellum with broad brown markings on sides; scuto- scutellar suture usually with medial brown spot
species complex	Wings	Distal arm of S-band normally developed, never reaching apex of vein <i>M</i> ; V-band connected to or separated from S-band anteriorly
	Female genitalia	Aculeus 1.4–1.9 mm long; aculeus tip 0.20–0.28 mm long; lateral margins with 8 to 14 teeth occupying distal two-fifths to three-fifths
	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta usually absent
	Thorax	Scutum with dark brown dorsocentral stripes
Anastrepha grandis	Wings	C-band uninterrupted along costal vein; basal half of S-band (on discal cell) continuous from apex of cell <i>bcu</i> through crossvein <i>R-M</i> and connecting with C-band above; cell r_{2+3} completely pigmented in entire length; vein R_{2+3} almost straight; cell <i>br</i> broadly hyaline between veins <i>bm-cu</i> and <i>r-m</i>
	Female genitalia	Aculeus extremely long (5.3–6.2 mm), and usually greater than 0.10 mm wide; aculeus tip with V-shaped ridges, lateral margins non-serrate
	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta present
Anastrepha	Thorax	Subscutellum always with brown marks laterally, sometimes extending onto mediotergite
ludens	Wings	V-band usually not connected to S-band, and with arms separated anteriorly
	Female genitalia	Aculeus usually 3.3–5.8 mm long; aculeus tip 0.28–0.42 mm long, 0.12–0.14 mm wide, with a moderate constriction near mid-length; lateral margins non-serrate or finely serrate on distal 0.55 or less
	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta present
Anastrepha	Thorax	Subscutellum entirely yellow, only mediotergite with brown markings on sides; scuto-scutellar suture without medial brown spot
obliqua	Wings	Distal arm of S-band normally developed, never reaching apex of vein <i>M</i> ; V-band usually connected anteriorly to S-band
	Female genitalia	Aculeus less than 2.0 mm long; aculeus tip 0.16–0.20 mm long, with lateral serrations on distal two-thirds to four-fifths
Anastrepha	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta present
corportana	Thorax	Thorax mostly brown or red-brown contrasting with yellow markings; scutum

Table 4. Diagnostic morphological characters of adults of Anastrepha species

Species	Structure	Description
		mostly brown with three yellow stripes
	Wings	Wing pattern mostly dark brown; distal arm of V-band completely absent
	Female genitalia	Aculeus 2.6–3.8 mm long; aculeus tip 0.37–0.46 mm long, 0.14–0.17 mm wide, lateral margins finely serrated on distal 0.5–0.7
	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta present
Anastrepha	Thorax	Scutum with two broad dorsocentral stripes connected on posterior margin forming a U-shaped mark, without setulae in a small area along transverse suture
Sliiala	Wings	Wing pattern mostly orange and brown; distal arm of V-band present or absent
	Female genitalia	Aculeus 1.95–2.30 mm long; aculeus tip broad, 0.24–0.31 mm long, 0.17– 0.20 mm wide
	Head chaetotaxy	Posterior orbital seta present
Anastropha	Thorax	Scuto-scutellar suture with large rounded brown spot medially; mediotergite entirely yellow or with brown mark on sides
suspensa	Wings	Anterior apical band (=distal section of the S-band) extremely wide, reaching the apex of vein <i>M</i> ; V-band broad and complete, with arms widely connected anteriorly
	Female genitalia	Aculeus 1.4–1.6 mm long; aculeus tip 0.19–0.23 mm long, 0.10–0.13 mm wide, lateral margins serrate on distal 0.50–0.65

5. Records

Records and evidence should be retained as described in section 2.5 of ISPM 27 (*Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests*).

In cases where other contracting parties may be affected by the diagnosis, the records and evidence (in particular, preserved or slide-mounted specimens and photographs of distinctive taxonomic structures, as appropriate) should be deposited in a museum or another permanent collection.

6. Contact Points for Further Information

Further information on this protocol can be obtained from:

Instituto de Ecología A.C., Red de Interacciones Multitróficas, Xalapa, Veracruz, México (Vicente Hernández-Ortiz; e-mail: <u>vicente.hernandez@inecol.mx</u>).

- Systematic Entomology Laboratory, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Washington, DC, United States (Allen L. Norrbom; e-mail: <u>anorrbom@sel.barc.usda.gov</u>).
- Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz (ESALQ)/Universidade de São Paulo (USP) Departmaneto de Entomologia, Piracicaba, Brazil (Roberto A. Zucchi; email: <u>razucchi@usp.br</u>).

Universidad Metropolitana de Ciencias de la Educación, Instituto de Entomología, Santiago, Chile (Daniel Frías; e-mail: <u>daniel.frias@umce.cl</u>).

- Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, Gainesville, FL, United States (Gary Steck; e-mail: gary.steck@freshfromflorida.com).
- Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Agronomía, Buenos Aires, Argentina (Alicia Basso; email: <u>bassoalicia@yahoo.com</u>).
- APHIS, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Mission Laboratory, TX, United States (Norman B. Barr; e-mail: <u>Norman.B.Barr@aphis.usda.gov</u>).
- Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, Dirección General de Servicios Agrícolas, Departamento Laboratorios Biológicos, Montevideo, Uruguay (Andrea Listre; email: <u>allbme@gmail.com</u>).

A request for a revision to a diagnostic protocol may be submitted by national plant protection organizations (NPPOs), regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) or Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) subsidiary bodies through the IPPC Secretariat (<u>ippc@fao.org</u>), which will in turn forward it to the Technical Panel on Diagnostic Protocols (TPDP).

7. Acknowledgements

This protocol was written by V. Hernández-Ortiz (Instituto de Ecología A.C., Red de Interacciones Multitróficas, México (see preceding section)) with the collaboration of N. Vaccaro (Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Estación Experimental Concordia, Argentina) and A. Basso (Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Agronomía, Argentina (see preceding section)).

In addition, the following experts were significantly involved in the development of this protocol:

- A.L. Norrbom (Systematic Entomology Laboratory, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Smithsonian Institution, United States (see preceding section))
- R.A. Zucchi (Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil (see preceding section))
- D. Frías (Universidad Metropolitana de Ciencias de la Educación, Chile (see preceding section))
- N.B. Barr (APHIS, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), United States (see preceding section))
- G. Steck (Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, United States (see preceding section))
- A.L. Terra, (Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, Dirección General de Servicios Agrícolas, Uruguay)
- A. Listre (Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, Dirección General de Servicios Agrícolas, Uruguay)
- O. Volonterio (Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, Dirección General de Servicios Agrícolas, Uruguay)
- M. Malipatil (La Trobe University, Bioprotection, Biosciences Research Division, Department of Environment and Primary Industries (Victoria), Australia)
- V. Balmès (Anses, Laboratoire de la santé des végétaux, Unité entomologie et plantes invasives, France).

8. References

The present standard refers to International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs). ISPMs are available on the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) at <u>https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/ispms</u>.

Aluja, M., Piñero, J., Jácome, I., Díaz-Fleischer, F. & Sivinski, J. 1999. Behavior of flies in the genus *Anastrepha* (Trypetinae: Toxotrypanini). *In* M. Aluja & A.L. Norrbom, eds. *Fruit flies* (*Tephritidae*): *Phylogeny and evolution of behavior*, pp. 375–406. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press.

- **Berg, G.H.** 1979. *Clave ilustrada de larvas de moscas de la fruta de la familia Tephritidae*. El Salvador, Organismo Internacional Regional de Sanidad Agropecuaria. 36 pp.
- Cáceres, C., Segura, D.F., Vera, M.T., Wornoayporn, V., Cladera, J.L., Teal, P., Sapountzis, P., Bourtzis, P., Zacharopoulou, A. & Robinson, A.S. 2009. Incipient speciation revealed in Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera; Tephritidae) by studies on mating compatibility, sex pheromones, hybridization, and cytology. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 97: 152– 165.
- Carroll, L.E., Norrbom, A.L., Dallwitz, M.J. & Thompson, F.C. 2004. Pest fruit flies of the world: Larvae. Version: 8 December 2006. Available at http://delta-intkey.com/ffl/ (last accessed 18 March 2015).
- Carroll, L.E. & Wharton, R.A. 1989. Morphology of the immature stages of *Anastrepha ludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 82: 201–214.
- **Dutra, V.S., Ronchi-Teles, B., Steck, G.J. & Gomes Silva, J.** 2011a. Description of eggs of *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the *spatulata* group using scanning electron microscopy. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 104(5): 857–862.
- **Dutra, V.S., Ronchi-Teles, B., Steck, G.J. & Gomes Silva, J.** 2011b. Egg morphology of *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the *fraterculus* group using scanning electron microscopy. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 104(1): 16–24.
- **Dutra, V.S., Ronchi-Teles, B., Steck, G.J. & Gomes Silva, J.** 2012. Description of larvae of *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the *fraterculus* group. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 105(4): 529–538.
- **Dutra, V.S., Ronchi-Teles, B., Steck, G.J. & Gomes Silva, J.** 2013. Description of eggs of *Anastrepha curitis* and *Anastrepha leptozona* (Diptera: Tephritidae) using SEM. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 106(1): 13–17.
- Figueiredo, J.V.A., Perondini, A.L.P., Ruggiro, E.M., Prezotto, L.F. & Selivon, D. 2011. External egg-shell morphology of *Anastrepha* fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Acta Zoologica* (*Stockholm*), doi: 10.1111/j.1463-6395.2011.00533.x.
- Foote, R.H., Blanc, F.L. & Norrbom, A.L. 1993. Handbook of the fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) of America North of Mexico. Ithaca, NY, Comstock Publishing Associates, Cornell University Press. 571 pp.
- Frías, D., Hernández-Ortiz, V. & López Muñoz, L. 2009. Description of the third-instar of *Anastrepha leptozona* Hendel (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Neotropical Entomology*, 38(4): 491–496.
- Frías, D., Hernández-Ortiz, V., Vaccaro, N., Bartolucci, A. & Salles, L. 2006. Comparative morphology of immature stages in some frugivorous species of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *In A. Freidberg, ed. Biotaxonomy of the Tephritoidea, Israel Journal of Entomology,* 35–36: 423–457.
- Frias, D., Selivon, D. & Hernández-Ortiz, V. 2008. Taxonomy of immature stages: New morphological characters for Tephritidae larvae identification. In A. Malavasi, R. Sugayama, R. Zucchi & J. Sivinski, eds. Fruit flies of economic importance: From basic to applied knowledge. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, Salvador, Brazil, 10–15 September 2006, pp. 29–44.
- Hernández-Ortiz, V. 1992. *El género* Anastrepha *Schiner en México*. Taxonomía, distribución y sus plantas huéspedes. Publicación #33. Xalapa, México, Instituto de Ecología. 167 pp.
- Hernández-Ortiz, V. & Aluja, M. 1993. Listado de especies del género neotropical Anastrepha (Diptera: Tephritidae) con notas sobre su distribución y plantas hospederas. Folia Entomológica Mexicana, 88: 89–105.
- Hernández-Ortiz, V., Bartolucci A.F., Morales-Valles, P., Frías, D. & Selivon, D. 2012. Cryptic species of the *Anastrepha fraterculus* complex: A multivariate approach for the recognition of

South American morphotypes. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 105(2): 305–318.

- Hernández-Ortiz, V., Gómez-Anaya, J.A., Sánchez, A., McPheron, B.A. & Aluja, M. 2004. Morphometric analysis of Mexican and South American populations of the *Anastrepha fraterculus* complex (Diptera: Tephritidae) and recognition of a distinct Mexican morphotype. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 94: 487–499.
- Hernández-Ortiz, V., Guillén-Aguilar, J. & López, L. 2010. Taxonomía e identificación de moscas de la fruta de Importancia Económica en América. *In P. Montoya*, J. Toledo & E. Hernández, eds. *Moscas de la Fruta: Fundamentos y Procedimientos para su Manejo*, pp. 49–80. México, D.F., S y G Editores.
- Lopes, G.N., Arias, O.R., Cônsoli, F.L. & Zucchi, R.A. 2013. The identity of specimens of the *Anastrepha fraterculus* complex (Diptera, Tephritidae) with atypical aculeus tip. *Neotropical Entomology*, 42(6): 618–627.
- Malavasi, A., Morgante, J.S. & Prokopy, R.J. 1983. Distribution and activities of Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera:Tephritidae) flies on host and non-host trees. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 76: 286–292.
- Meier, R., Shiyang, K., Vaidya, G., & Ng, P.K. 2006. DNA barcoding and taxonomy in Diptera: A tale of high intraspecific variability and low identification success. *Systematic Biology*, 55(5): 715–728.
- **Norrbom, A.L.** 2004a. Host plant database for *Anastrepha* and *Toxotrypana* (Diptera: Tephritidae: Toxotrypanini). *Diptera Data Dissemination Disk* (CD-ROM) 2.
- Norrbom, A.L. 2004b. Updates to biosystematic database of world Diptera for Tephritidae through 1999. *Diptera Data Dissemination Disk* (CD-ROM) 2.
- Norrbom, A.L. 2010. Fruit Fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) Taxonomy Pages. Beltsville, MD, Systematic Entomology Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service (ARS), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Available at

http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov/diptera/tephriti/tephriti.htm (last accessed 18 October 2010).

- Norrbom, A.L., Carroll, L.E. & Freidberg, A. 1999a. Status of knowledge. In F.C. Thompson, ed. Fruit fly expert identification system and systematic information database, pp. 9–47. Myia (1998) 9, vii + 524 pp. and Diptera Data Dissemination Disk (CD-ROM) (1998) 1.
- Norrbom, A.L., Carroll, L.E., Thompson, F.C., White, I.M. & Freidberg, A. 1999b. Systematic database of names. In F.C. Thompson, ed. Fruit fly expert identification system and systematic information database, pp. 65–251. Myia (1998) 9, vii + 524 pp. and Diptera Data Dissemination Disk (CD-ROM) (1998) 1.
- Norrbom, A.L. & Kim, K.C. 1988. A list of the reported host plants of the species of *Anastrepha* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *United States Department of Agriculture, APHIS* 81–52, 114 pp.
- Norrbom, A.L., Korytkowski, C.A., Zucchi, R.A., Uramoto, K., Venable, G.L., McCormick, J. & Dallwitz, M.J. 2012. *Anastrepha* and *Toxotrypana*: Descriptions, illustrations, and interactive keys. Version: 31 August 2012. Available at http://delta-intkey.com (last accessed 18 March 2015).
- Norrbom, A.L., Zucchi, R.A. & Hernández-Ortiz, V. 1999c. Phylogeny of the genera Anastrepha and Toxotrypana (Trypetinae: Toxotrypanini) based on morphology. In M. Aluja & A.L. Norrbom, eds. Fruit flies (Tephritidae): Phylogeny and evolution of behavior, pp. 299–342. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press.
- **Prokopy, R.J. & Roitberg, B.D.** 1984. Foraging behavior of true fruit flies. *American Scientist*, 72: 41–49.
- Selivon, D., Perondini, A.L.P., Morgante, J.S. 2005. A genetic-morphological characterization of two cryptic species of the *Anastrepha fraterculus* complex (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 98: 367–381.
- Selivon, D., Vretos, C., Fontes, L. & Perondini, A.L.P. 2004. New variant forms in the Anastrepha fraterculus complex (Diptera, Tephritidae). In B.N. Barnes, ed. Proceedings of the 6th

- Sonvico, A., Benseñor, L., Basso, A. & Quesada-Allué, L.A. 2004. *Anastrepha fraterculus* internal transcribed spacer 1, complete sequence. GenBank accession number AY686689.
- Steck, G.J., Carroll, L.E., Celedonio-Hurtado, H. & Guillén-Aguilar, J. 1990. Methods for identification of *Anastrepha* larvae (Diptera: Tephritidae), and key to 13 species. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 92: 333–346.
- Steck, G.J. & Wharton, R.A. 1988. Description of immature stages of Anastrepha interrupta, A. limae, and A. grandis (Diptera: Tephritidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 81: 994–1003.
- Stone, A. 1942. *The fruit flies of the genus* Anastrepha. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Miscellaneous Publication 439. Washington DC, USDA. pp. 1–112. 2012. Available at http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov/diptera/tephriti/tephriti.htm.
- Vera, M.T., Cáceres, C., Wornoayporn, V., Islam, A., Robinson, A.S., De La Vega, M.H., Hendrichs, J. & Cayol, J.P. 2006. Mating incompatibility among populations of the South American fruit fly Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera: Tephritidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 99: 387–397.
- Virgilio, M., Backeljau, T., Nevado, B., & De Meyer, M. 2010. Comparative performances of DNA barcoding across insect orders. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 11(1): 206.
- White, I.M. & Elson-Harris, M.M. 1992. Fruit flies of economic significance: Their identification and bionomics. Wallingford, UK, CABI. 601 pp.
- Will, K.W., Mishler, B.D. & Wheeler, Q.D. 2005. The perils of DNA barcoding and the need for integrative taxonomy. *Systematic Biology*, 54: 844–851.
- **Zucchi, R.A.** 2000. Taxonomia. In A. Malavasi & R.A. Zucchi, eds. Moscas-das-frutas de importância econômica no Brasil. Conhecimento básico e aplicado, pp. 13–24. Riberao Preto, Brasil, Holos Editora.

9. Figures



Figure 1. General habitus of the adult female of *Anastrepha ludens* (Mexican fruit fly) in dorsal view. *Micrograph courtesy V. Hernández-Ortiz.*



Figures 2–3. (2-A) Morphology of the head of *Anastrepha* species, fronto-lateral view. *a-orb*, anterior orbital setae; *fro*, frontal setae; *gen*, gena; *pocl*, postocellar setae; *pocu*, postocular setae; *p-orb*, posterior orbital seta; *vtl*, vertical lateral seta; *vtm*, vertical medial seta. **(2-B)** Thorax dorsal view and chaetotaxy. *ac*, acrostichal; *asa*, presutural supra-alar; *dc*, dorsocentral; *in*, intra-alar; *ntp*, notopleurals; *pa*, postalar; *ppn*, postpronotal; *psa*, postsutural supra-alar; *sc*, scutellars. **(3)** Mediotergite and subscutellum, postero-dorsal view: **(3-A)** *A. fraterculus*; **(3-B)** *A. ludens*; and **(3-C)** *A. obliqua*.

Source: Figure 1(A) adapted from Hernández-Ortiz et al. (2010); Figures 2 and 3 adapted from Hernández-Ortiz (1992).



Figures 4–6. (4) Wing pattern of *Anastrepha* and nomenclature of veins and cells (dorsal view). **(5)** Male terminalia in *Anastrepha* species. *ae*, aedeagus; *epa*, epandrium; *gla*, glans; *lsur*, lateral surstylus; *msur*, medial surstylus; *pre*, prensisetae; *pro*, proctiger. **(6)** Female terminalia in *Anastrepha* species. *acu*, aculeus; *em*, eversible membrane; *ov*, oviscape; *sp*, sclerotized plates (rasper).

Source: Figure 4 adapted from Hernández-Ortiz et al. (2010); Figures 5 and 6 adapted from Norrborn et al. (2012).



Figures 7–13. Wing pattern of Anastrepha species: (7) A. grandis; (8) A. serpentina; (9) A. striata; (10) A. suspensa; (11) A. ludens; (12) A. obliqua; and (13) A. fraterculus (Brazil). Source: All figures adapted from Hernández-Ortiz et al. (2010).



Figures 14–20. Morphology of the aculeus tip in *Anastrepha* species of major economic importance: (14) *A. grandis*; (15) *A. serpentina*; (16) *A. striata*; (17) *A. suspensa*; (18) *A. ludens*; (19) *A. obliqua*; and (20) *A. fraterculus* (Brazil).

Source: All figures adapted from Hernández-Ortiz et al. (2010).



ĎĊ



Figures 21–26. (21) Morphology of the cephalopharyngeal skeleton of third instar larvae. Mandible hook of third instar larvae, lateral view: **(22)** *Ceratitis capitata*; **(23)** *Anastrepha obliqua*; **(24)** *Bactrocera dorsalis*; **(25)** *Rhagoletis tomatis*; and **(26)** *Toxotrypana* sp. *At*, apical tooth; *DC*, dorsal cornu; *DS*, dental sclerite; *Hb*, hypopharyngeal bridge; *HS*, hypopharyngeal sclerite; *MD*, mandible; *Mn*, mandibular neck; *PB*, parastomal bar; *Pt*, preapical tooth; *Va*, ventral apodeme; *VC*, ventral cornu. *Source: All figures adapted from Frías* et al. (2006).



Figures 27–32. Cephalopharyngeal skeleton of third instar larvae of *Anastrepha* species: **(27)** *A. ludens*; **(28)** *A. obliqua*; **(29)** *A. suspensa*; **(30)** *A. serpentina*; **(31)** *A. striata*; and **(32)** *A. grandis. Source: All figures adapted from Carroll* et al. *(2004).*



Figures 33–44. Anterior and posterior spiracles of third instar larvae of *Anastrepha* species: (33, 34) *A. ludens*; (35, 36) *A. serpentina*; (37, 38) *A. obliqua*; (39, 40) *A. striata*; (41, 42) *A. suspensa*; and (43, 44) *A. grandis. Source: All figures adapted from Carroll* et al. (2004).



Figures 45-50. (45, 47, 48) Cephalic segment of third instar larvae. (46, 49, 50) Spiracular plates of caudal segment. (45) Rhagoletis sp. (46) Anastrepha fraterculus. (47) Rhagoletis brncici. (48) Ceratitis capitata. (49) Toxotrypana sp. (50) Anastrepha obliqua. Ac, anteno-maxillary complex; At, apical tooth; Lb, labium; Or, oral ridges; Ort, oral teeth; Po, preoral organ; Prt, preoral teeth; sl, spiracular slits. Spiracular processes (=spiracular hairs): *SP-I* dorsal, *SP-II* and *SP-III* medials, *SP-IV* posterior. Source: Figures 45 and 47–50 adapted from Frías et al. (2006); Figure 46 adapted from Hernández-Ortiz et al.

(2010).



Figures 51–56. Anterior spiracles of the first thoracic segment, third instar larvae: **(51)** Anastrepha ludens; **(52)** Anastrepha fraterculus; **(53)** Toxotrypana curvicauda; **(54)** Rhagoletis conversa; **(55)** Ceratitis capitata; and **(56)** Bactrocera cucurbitae.

Source: Figures 52–55 adapted from Frías et al. (2006); Figures 51 and 56 adapted from Hernández-Ortiz et al. (2010).



Figures 57–61. (57) Anal lobes bifids, *Anastrepha striata*; **(58)** Anal lobes entire, *Anastrepha obliqua*; **(59)** caudal ridges absent, *Anastrepha suspensa*; **(60)** caudal ridges present, *Bactrocera carambolae*; **(61)** *Anastrepha striata*, dorsal view of third instar larva showing rows of dorsal spinules. *Micrographs courtesy G. Steck.*

Publication history

This is not an official part of the standard

2014-03 CPM-1 (2006) added topic to work programme (Genus Anastrepha, 2004-015).

2008-06 First draft presented to TPDP (meeting).

2013-04 Expert consultation

2013-06 Draft presented to TPDP (meeting).

2014-05 SC approved for member consultation (2014_eSC_May_12).

2014-07 Member consultation.

- 2015-03 TPDP approved to submit to SC for approval for adoption (2015_eTPDP_Apr_02).
- 2015-06 SC approved for DP notification period (2015_eSC_Nov_05).
- 2015-08 SC adopted DP on behalf of CPM (with no formal objections received).
- ISPM 27. Annex 9. Genus Anastrepha Schiner (2015). Rome, IPPC, FAO.

Publication history last modified: 2015-12.

This page is intentionally left blank

IPPC

The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) is an international plant health agreement that aims to protect cultivated and wild plants by preventing the introduction and spread of pests. International travel and trade are greater than ever before. As people and commodities move around the world, organisms that present risks to plants travel with them.

Organization

- There are over 180 contracting parties to the IPPC.
- Each contracting party has a national plant protection organization (NPPO) and an Official IPPC contact point.
- Nine regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) work to facilitate the implementation of the IPPC in countries.
- IPPC liaises with relevant international organizations to help build regional and national capacities.
- The Secretariat is provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).



International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy Tel: +39 06 5705 4812 - Fax: +39 06 5705 4819 Email: ippc@fao.org - Web: www.ippc.int